### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

## **PCT**

# TRANSLATION INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter II of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Article 36 and Rule 70)

Applicant's or agent's file reference 904492				FOR FURTHER AC	CTION	See Form PCT/IPEA/416						
International application No.				International filing date	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)						
PCT/JP2004/019341			341	24.12.2004	Į	14.01.2004						
Internati	International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC											
B23B27/22, B23B27/20												
Applicant												
SUMITOMO ELECTRIC HARDMETAL CORP.												
1.	<ol> <li>This report is the international preliminary examination report, established by this International Preliminary Examining Authority under Article 35 and transmitted to the applicant according to Article 36.</li> </ol>											
2.	This R	EPORT consists	of a total of	5	sheets, including	g this cover sheet.						
3.	3. This report is also accompanied by ANNEXES, comprising:											
	a	(sent to the	applicant and	to the International Bur	eau) a total of	sheets, as follows:						
	sheets of the description, claims and/or drawings which have been amended and are the basis for this report and/or sheets containing rectifications authorized by this Authority (see Rule 70.16 and Section 607 of the Administrative Instructions).											
				ede earlier sheets, but w	hich this Authority cons	siders contain an amendment that goes beyond						
		the dis	sclosure in the	international application	on as filed, as indicated	in item 4 of Box No. I and the Supplemental						
	ь. Г	(sent to the	International l	Bureau onlv) a total of (i	ndicate type and number	r of electronic carrier(s))						
	_	<b>_</b> ,		,	• •							
	, containing a sequence listing and/or tables related thereto, in computer readable form only, as indicated in the Supplemental Box Relating to Sequence Listing (see Section 802 of the Administrative Instructions).											
4.	This re	eport contains ind	lications relatin	ng to the following items	s:							
	$\boxtimes$	Box No. I	Basis of the	report								
		Box No. II	Priority									
		Box No. III	Non-establis	shment of opinion with r	egard to novelty, inventi	ive step and industrial applicability						
		Box No. IV	Lack of unit	y of invention								
	Box No. V  Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement											
		Box No. VI	Certain docu	uments cited								
		Box No. VII	Certain defe	ects in the international a	pplication							
	Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application											
Date of submission of the demand				Date of completion of thi	s report							
Name a	nd maili	ng address of the	IPEA/JP	1	Authorized officer							
Facsimile No.					Геlephone No.							

### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.

PCT/JP2004/019341

Box	No. I	I Basis of the report							
1.		h regard to the language, this report is based on the internaticated under this item.	onal application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise						
		which is the language of a translation furnished for the puriod international search (Rule 12.3 and 23.1(b))  publication of the international application (Rule 12.5)	4)						
2.	rece	international preliminary examination (Rule 55.2 and/or 55.3)  the regard to the elements of the international application, this report is based on (replacement sheets which have been furnished to the eleving Office in response to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to in this report as "originally filed" and are not annexed to report):  the international application as originally filed/furnished the description:							
		pages	as originally filed/furnished						
		•	received by this Authority on						
		pages*	received by this Authority on						
	Ш	the claims:	as originally filed/furnished						
		nos.*k	as amended (together with any statement) under Article 19						
			received by this Authority on						
			received by this Authority on						
	П	the drawings:							
		sheets	as originally filed/furnished						
			received by this Authority on						
			received by this Authority on						
	П	a sequence listing and/or any related table(s) – see Supple:							
2	$\Box$		nemar Box Remaining to bequence Listing.						
3.	ш	The amendments have resulted in the cancellation of:							
			the claims, nos.						
4	$\overline{}$		dments annexed to this report and listed below had not been made, since						
7.	Ш	they have been considered to go beyond the disclosure as	•						
		the description, pages							
		the claims, nos.							
		the drawings, sheets/figs							
		the sequence listing (specify):							
		any table(s) related to sequence listing (specify):							
*	If ite	em 4 applies, some or all of those sheets may be marked "su	perseded."						

#### INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

International application No.
PCT/JP2004/019341

Box			ticle 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; poorting such statement	
1.	Statement			
	Novelty (N) Claim		1-9	YES
		Claims		_ NO
	Inventive step (IS)	Claims		YES
		Claims	1-9	_ NO
	Industrial applicability (IA) Clai		1-9	YES
		Claims		_ NO

- 2. Citations and explanations (Rule 70.7)
  - Document 1: JP 8-155702 A (Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd.), 18 June 1996
  - Document 2: JP 8-52604 A (Valenite Inc.), 27 February 1996
  - Document 3: JP 8-52605 A (Valenite Inc.), 27 February 1996
  - Document 4: JP 2003-175408 A (Sumitomo Electric Industries, Ltd.), 24 June 2003

The inventions set forth in claims 1 and 3 to 7 do not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1 and document 2 or 3 cited in the international search report. Document 1 discloses a throw-away tip which has a sintered body that comprises cubic system boron nitride attached thereto, said throw-away tip comprising a cutting blade, a chamfered section and a tip breaker that is configured from a protruding part and a flat part, wherein specific ranges have been delimited for the angle that is formed by the chamfered section and the upper surface of the tool body, for the width of the chamfered section at the tip of the blade, for the distance between the tip of the apical angle and the top of the protruding part as viewed in-plane, and for the difference between

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

the height of the tip of the apical angle and the height of the top of the protruding part.

Meanwhile, documents 2 and 3 disclose protruding parts with forms such that the top parts include one pair of ridge lines that are approximately symmetrical relative to the plane bisecting the apical angle, wherein the L1' / L1 value of said protruding parts is similar to the L1' / L1 value of the invention set forth in the present application.

With regards to the numerical limits (i.e.,  $\theta$  and L1 /L2) that are associated with the form of the protruding part:

• although the description presents experimental examples wherein the angle  $\theta$  was 42°, 50°...82° or 86° when using arbitrary processing conditions (a cutting speed of 120 m/min, a cutting depth of 0.5 mm and a feed rate of 0.2 mm/rev), an arbitrary apex angle  $(\alpha = 80^{\circ})$  and an arbitrary work material (carburized SCM415), it is unclear whether  $\theta$  values just inside the range delimited by the boundary values 48° and 82° will impart significantly different effects from  $\theta$ values just outside said range, or whether  $\theta$  values just inside the boundary values of a numerical range delimited by the formula [6 / 10 x  $\alpha \le \theta \le 90$ - $1 / 10 \times \alpha$ ] will impart significantly different effects from  $\theta$  values just outside said boundary values even after changing parameters such as the processing conditions, the apex angle or the work material, and thus the numerical range that is delimited for the term  $\theta$  by means of the abovementioned formula cannot be considered to have a

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

critical significance; likewise, for the same reason, the numerical range for the ratio L1 / L2 cannot be considered to have a critical significance even with consideration of the disclosures in the description;

- the optimal form and the suitable forms of the protruding part will change in accordance with various factors such as the work material and the processing conditions; and
- it is within the common creative abilities of a person skilled in the art to optimize or improve the form of the protruding part so as to accommodate the various factors indicated above.

Such being the case, it cannot be considered especially difficult to establish the abovementioned numeric limits in the light of these facts.

The inventions set forth in claims 2, 8 and 9 do not involve an inventive step in the light of document 1, document 2 or 3, and document 4 cited in the international search report. Document 4 delimits the tenpoint average roughness of the surface, and discloses a feature wherein a coating layer is formed on the surface of the sintered body.